

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.5573 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-19 Year-2022 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE District-
Vaishali

-
1. Amarnath Chaudhary Son of Ajavlal Chaudhary Resident of Village- Mahua, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali
 2. Hardat Chaudhary @ Hardat Kumar Son of Amarnath Chaudhary Resident of Village- Mahua, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali
 3. Ratan Chaudhary Son of Gayaneshwar Chaudhary Resident of Village- Mahua, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali
 4. Shivdutt Chaudhary @ Shivdutt Kumar Son of Amarnath Chaudhary Resident of Village- Mahua, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ramji Pawan Son of Late Kailash Paswan Resident of Village- Mahua, P.S.- Mahua Mukundpur, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2025 No one appears on behalf of the appellant though Mr. Sadanand Paswan, learned Spl.P.P. is present.

2. The present appeal has been preferred:

*“for quashing the order dated
16.01.2024 passed by the learned Exclusive
Special Court (SC/ST), Vaishali at Hajipur,
in Complaint Case No. C1 19/2022 dated
12.01.2022 for an offence U/S-323/504 of
the I.P.C. and sec. 3(1) (s) of the S.C./S.T.
(P.O.A.) Act, by which order of cognizance*



for the above mentioned Sections of I.P.C. as well as Under Section of S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, has been taken and further process have been issued against the appellants.”

3. The cognizance was taken in the matter on 16.01.2024 and the period for filing the appeal came to an end on 20.04.2024.

4. The appeal was filed on 09.12.2024 without any limitation petition. There is/was delay of 229 days.

5. On 20.12.2024, time till 03.01.2025 was granted to remove the defect(s) which included the filing of the limitation petition. Still, the appellant chose to ignore the said order passed by the Joint Registrar (Judicial).

6. An appeal without limitation petition has got no meaning. Here it is delay of 229 days and the appellant not only chose not to file the same alongwith the appeal has even ignored the order of the Joint Registrar (Judicial).

7. The present appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

