

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88065 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-175 Year-2023 Thana- NIMCHAKBATHANI District- Gaya

Ranjeet Kumar Sao @ Ranjeet Sao @ Ranjeet Kumar @ Bugal Sao S/O Late
Jagdish Sao R/O Natesar PS- Neemachak Bathani District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kunwar Narayan Jamuar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Mr. Amrendra Kumar Sinha No.1, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-01-2025 1. Heard the parties.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Neemchak Bathani P.S. Case No. 175 of 2023 dated 23.09.2023
registered under Section 341, 323, 504, 506, 307 of the I.P.C.
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. This is second attempt for regular bail inasmuch as on
the previous occasion the prayer for grant of bail of the
petitioner was rejected on 09.08.2024 vide Cr. Misc. No. 45968
of 2024 with observation that the petitioner may renew his
prayer for bail after six months of custody if the trial does not
show progress.

4. As per the first information report the informant is the
owner of a piece of land which was forcibly grabbed by the



petitioner and other accused persons. On 22.09.2023 when the informant went upon the land and asked the petitioner to stop construction upon the subject land, in the meanwhile, the petitioner brought country made pistol from his grocery shop and fired upon the informant due to which he sustained firearm injury on little finger of his left hand.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 03.05.2024 and has falsely been implicated in this case on the basis of the allegation that the petitioner has grabbed the land of the informant but the fact of the matter is that the petitioner is the purchaser of the subject land from one Kanti Devi who is the cousin sister-in-law of the informant's grandmother. He further submits that the injury caused to the informant is simple in nature i.e. lacerated wound as per the opinion of the doctor.

6. On the other hand, learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner is having criminal antecedents and is a land grabber. Four cases of serious nature of offence have been lodged against the petitioner. The petitioner with muscle power has grabbed the land of the informant and has started constructing house upon it. The petitioner fired upon the informant from an unlicensed



pistol.

7. Regard being had to the submissions made by the parties, taking into consideration the period of custody, the fact that this is second attempt for regular bail on behalf of the petitioner and there is no likelihood that the petitioner will abscond or tamper with the evidence if released on bail, I am inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on regular bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10000 /- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in connection with Neemchak Bathani P.S. Case No. 175 of 2023.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

