

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.293 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2449 Year-2015 Thana- BHAGALPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhagalpur

=====

Rukhsar Abedin D/o Ainul Abedin @ Md. Anul Aabdin Resident of village-
Purain, Mina bazar, P.s- Jagdishpur, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manoj Kumar Mandal S/o Bindeshwari Mandal R/o vill - Chai tola, P.S. -
Kajraili, Distt.- Bhagalpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Md. Najmul Hodda, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Madhuri Lata, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2025 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per complaint case, on persuasion of the complainant, altogether 40 persons invested their money worth Rs. 14,00,000/- in the Infrastructure Private Limited and Wealth Agro Private Limited through the complainant and other agents. It is alleged that all the accused persons, including this petitioner, misappropriated the amount deposited by different consumers and in result thereof, several criminal cases have been filed in the nature of complaint by different consumers



against different employees of the company, including this petitioner.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. From bare perusal of the complaint petition it is apparent that petitioner was computer operator in the company and as such she has got no concern with the financial management of the company. It is not the case of the complainant that this petitioner collected any money or persuaded any investor to deposit money in the alleged company. Petitioner has been made an accused in this case merely because she happens to be employee of the company. Moreover, during pendency of this case, the issue between the parties has already been resolved and a compromise petition has also been filed on 02.08.2024 after payment of entire amount to the complainant.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, nature of accusation and compromise between the parties, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.



7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. IX, Bhagalpur in connection with Complaint Case No. 2449 of 2015, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

