

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19283 of 2024

=====

Krishna bansfor son of Late Ram Raj Bansfor resident of Mohalla-Indira
Nagar, P.S.-Town Chapra, District-Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Joint Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Urban Development and Housing Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Saran Division, Saran
5. The District Magistrate, Saran
6. The Municipal Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Chapra
7. The Deputy Municipal Commissioner, Nagar Nigam Chapra
8. The Chief Councilor, Nagar Nigam Chapra

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Narsingh Tanti,
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Nagendra Pal Sinha, AAG 07
		Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sinha, AC to AAG 07
For the Municipal Corporation Chapra	:	Ms. Indu Bhushan, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

2 03-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

learned counsel for the respondent – State.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that father of the petitioner namely Ram Raj was working as a sweeper under the respondent no. 6 and due to harness he died on 28.10.2010. After the death of his father initially an application for grant of compassionate appointment was filed by the mother of the petitioner which was pending and during the pendency of the application, the petitioner attained



his majority and after that he himself filed an application for grant of compassionate appointment vide Annexure P-2 on 25.04.2015 and till date the application is pending and not decided by the competent authority. It is prayed by the counsel that the petition may be disposed of, directing the respondent no. 6 to consider and decide the same as early as possible within any specific period fixed by this Court.

3. The above prayer made by the counsel is not opposed by the counsel for the respondent no. 6 as well as counsel for respondent – State.

4. Considering the submissions made by both the counsels this petition is disposed of, directing the respondent no. 6 to consider and decide the representation made by the petitioner vide Annexure P-2 dated 25.04.2015 as early as possible probably within 45 days from today in accordance with relevant rules and laws.

5. With the aforesaid observation, this petition is disposed of.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

