

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.772 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2024 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

Sonu Kumar Son of Upendra Singh Resident of Village- Rukhi, P.S.- Chandi,
Distt.- Nalanda, At present Mohalla - Ashiyana More, Raja Bazar, P.S.-
Shastri Nagar, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Gopal Govind Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sanju Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-03-2025 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, informant suspects that this petitioner, along with other accused persons, killed his father. Informant alleges that his father had love affair with co-accused Geeta Devi, who happens to be mother of this petitioner and had lodged a case against father of informant in which father of informant was behind the bar and on the basis of compromise, father of informant came out of jail and as per settlement, co-accused Geeta Devi was given a new house but



thereafter, Geeta Devi and her sons, including this petitioner, demanded Rs. 11,00,000/- and also threatened to kill father of informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that informant is not eye witness to the occurrence and only because of old dispute, a suspicion has been raised against this petitioner. During course of investigation, none of the witnesses have claimed to have seen the occurrence or seen this petitioner committing the offence. Charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner is in custody since 16.07.2024. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation, period of custody and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten



thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. VI, Danapur, Patna in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 80 of 2024.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

