

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 86431 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-339 Year-2023 Thana- DAUDPUR District- Saran

Binay Puri @ Binay Kumar Puri S/O Manoj Puri @ Manoj Kumar Puri R/O
Vill.- Samtapar Matheya, Lejuar, P.S.- Daudpur, Dist.- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Daudpur P.S Case No. 339 of 2023 dated 10.10.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 181.400 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from vehicle

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is neither the driver nor the owner of the said vehicle and his name has transpired in this



case only on the basis of statement of the local people. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court *vide* order dated 29.03.2024, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 20068 of 2024. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Saran at Chapra, in connection with Daudpur P.S. Case No. 339 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

