

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82992 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2025 Thana- CHHATAPUR District- Supaul

1. Niranjana Roy, S/o Late Bharat Roy, Resident of village- Chunni, ward no 11, PS- Chhatapur, District- Supaul.
2. Rohit Kumar Roy @ Rohit Roy, S/o Niranjana Roy, Resident of village- Chunni, ward no 11, PS- Chhatapur, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Ms. Rashmi Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Yogendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, apprehending their arrest, in connection with Chhatapur PS. Case No.208 of 2025, dated-01.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per allegation, in regard to electric wire, altercation took place between the Petitioners' side and the Informant side in which allegedly the Petitioners' side has assaulted the informant and his son, causing injury on their



person.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the Petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that both the parties are agnates and the nature of the alleged injury is simple as transpires from the order of learned Sessions Court passed on 16.10.2025 in ABA No.1155 of 2025 & ABA No. 1408 of 2025, whereby anticipatory bail petition of the Petitioners was rejected.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners have not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the petition that the petitioner no.1 has been made accused in one other case, whereas the Petitioner No.2 has no criminal antecedent.

7. learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the simple nature of injury, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioners above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on their



furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court below, in connection with Chhatapur PS. Case No.208 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioners have any criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners after hearing them and getting satisfied that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents despite their knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

Chandan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

