

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.30 of 2025

=====

Munna Singh Son of Vaidyanath Singh, Resident of Village - Durgauli Chakia, P.O. - Bansauhi, P.S. - Mashrakh, District- Saran, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Vigilance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Additional Director General, Special Vigilance Unit, Patna, Bihar.
5. The Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Department, Patna, Bihar.
7. The Vigilance Cell, District- Siwan.
8. The Regional Deputy Director, Saran Division at Chapra.
9. The District Magistrate, District- Siwan.
10. The District Education Officer, Siwan.
11. The Block Education Officer, Block - Lakdi Nawiganj, District - Siwan.
12. Ranju Kumari, D/o Raj Kishore Singh, resident of village - Ekderwa, P.O. - Rajapatti, Block - Baikunthpur, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Awnish Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Advocate (5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-01-2025 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondent authorities (Vigilance Department) to conclude the enquiry which is pending before them since more than 9 years in view of the complaint filed by the petitioner



before the respondent vigilance department on 30.05.2015 for the purposes of making enquiry regarding a fabricated document relating to the experience certificate of non-formal education produced by one of the candidate namely Ranju Kumari (respondent no.12) for getting herself appointed on the post of Block Teacher.

(ii) For a declaration that since the enquiry could not be completed since more than 9 years, such delay in concluding the enquiry defeats the very purpose of such an enquiry and at the same time encourages the wrong-doers to prepare fake documents and get themselves appointed on the basis of those fake documents.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent no.6 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Department, Patna, Bihar for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

