

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87451 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-385 Year-2024 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Najrul Hasan @ Najrul S/o Hasim R/o Village- Pipra Tappu Tola, Ward No 12, P.S- Jogbani, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 385 of 2024 instituted for the offence under Section 137(2), 140(1), 61(2), 3(5) of BNS and later on Section 103(1) of BNS was added.

3. The case of the prosecution as per First Information Report is that when the informant went for shopping he saw petitioner alongwith others after having breakfast started going somewhere with bike. When the informant asked they told they will come after sometime. When the brother of the informant did not return for a considerable moment he started searching but he could not find anything.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner



that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this present case. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 20.07.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that during investigation, the petitioner has given his confessional statement and has stated that he alongwith others has committed murder of the deceased. From perusal of para-15 of the case diary, it also transpires that on his identification the dead body was recovered from the paddy field.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that there is confessional statement of the petitioner and on the basis of confessional statement the dead body was recovered, so, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for regular bail stands rejected.

7. This application stands dismissed.

8. Petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months if so advised.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

