

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88818 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-162 Year-2024 Thana- ARA NAWADA District- Bhojpur

Ranjeet Chaudhary @ Ranjit Chaudhary Son of Late Ramadhar Choudhary
Resident of village- Belaur, PS- Udwananagar, Distt.- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Kanchan Kumari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 29-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 162/2024 registered for the offences under Sections 341, 342, 307, 120B/34 of I.P.C. and Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, when the informant and his father were leaving the court premises then the accused persons namely Sushil Sah, Ranjit Chaudhary (petitioner) and Manish Chaudhari along with three unknown miscreants fired on them. The accused, Sushil Sah fired on the informant's father with the intent to kill which hit his temple causing injury. Thereafter, the injured was taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he carries a long list of criminal antecedent. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner and the informant are at logger heads because of an old dispute between them. Learned counsel further submits that admittedly the fire which hit the informant was caused by one Sushil Sah. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has twenty criminal antecedents and is in custody since 09.09.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner as the petitioner carries a long list of antecedents.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account that there is no specific allegation upon the petitioner and the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M.,Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 162/2024 subject to the conditions :-

- a. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.
- b. The petitioner shall remain physically present



in Court on each date of the trial.

c. The petitioner shall not approach the informant or any of the family members to either coerce or threaten during the pendency of the trial.

d. In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

e. The Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the aforementioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

f. In view of the antecedent of the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur within fifteen days of his release with a copy of this



order and every two weeks thereafter for the next nine months. The conduct of the petitioner will be kept under watch in this period by the Superintendent of Police concerned and if it is found wanting in any respect, a report shall be made to the Court concerned by him to initiate a proceeding for cancellation of bail for reasons of misuse of bail. After reporting to the Superintendent of Police, a certificate will be filed by the petitioner before the Court concerned.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

