

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87219 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2024 Thana- JANTA BAZAR District- Saran

Amit kumar Son of Amirlal Prasad village- Parsagarh, PS- Ekma, Dist- Saran
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 25-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Janta Bazar P.S. Case No. 183 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 of the Immoral Traffic Prevention Act read with Sections 3/4 of the POCSO Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, police, on the basis of secret information raided Royal Hotel, Janta Bazar and arrested the petitioner along with other co-accused persons while rescuing a minor girl and a woman. It is further alleged that some objectionable material was also seized from the place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious



possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner has nothing to do with the abovesaid occurrence in any manner. The petitioner is the manager of that hotel but he joined in that hotel three weeks ago prior to the date of occurrence on being persuaded by the owner of the hotel. It is further submitted that the victims have not been medically examined. Trial is already in progress and one witness has already been examined. The petitioner is in custody since 07.09.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that as per paragraph nos. 17 and 18 of the case diary, victims in their statement recorded under Section 183 of BNSS have supported the case of the prosecution. Learned APP further submitted that victims have refused for medical examination.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

