

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83607 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saran

Mukesh Kumar Singh @ Sirji Son of Rajkumar Singh Resident of Village-
Terasiya (Ward No. 01), P.S.- Ganga Bridge, District- Vaishali at Hajipur,
Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mahasweta Sinha, Police Inspector-cum-SHO, Saran Mahila P.S., Chapra-
841301 Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2025 Heard Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, learned APP for

the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Saran- Mahila P.S. Case No. 75 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 79, 111, 141, 143, 145, 98,
296 read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 along with
Sections 13 and 14 of the Prevention of Children from Sexual
Offences Act, 2012, Section 79 of the Juvenile Justice (Care &
Protection of Children Act), Sections 3, 4, 5 & 6 of the Immoral
Traffic (Prevention) Act and Section 16 of the Bounded Labour
System (Abolition) Act, 1976.



3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the petitioner is alleged to have running an orchestra. It is alleged that from a room, three minor girls were apprehended. They also told that the petitioner is the proprietor of the orchestra.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the course of investigation, the victims have given their statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS that they are working in an orchestra and they want to go to their houses. Save and except this, nothing is against the petitioner. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 15.08.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
Exclusive Special Additional Sessions Judge (POCSO) Saran at
Chapra in connection with Saran-Mahila P.S. Case No. 75 of
2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

