

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82161 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1430 Year-2024 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Araria

Sanjeev Kumar Jha S/O Late Gangadhar Jha Resident of Village- Laxmi
Niwas ward no. 25, Professor Colony Forbesganj, Police Station- Forbesganj,
District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the petitioner,
who is an LIC Agent, took money from the complainant for
depositing the same in the LIC office but he did not deposit the
premium in LIC office and cheated the complainant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and has falsely been
implicated in the instant case due to enmity out of land dispute.
It is further submitted that the complaint was filed after a
considerable delay which clearly suggests the falsity of the case



and false implication of the petitioner. It is also submitted that petitioner is maternal uncle of the complainant and the complainant requested the petitioner for payment of maturity amount of the LIC as he had to get his daughter admitted in Rajasthan Medical College and the petitioner issued five cheques which got bounced. It is further submitted that complainant did not pay any LIC premium through the petitioner and due to village politics and previous enmity, the instant case has been filed by the complainant without any reason. During the course of argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is still ready to pay Rs.25,00,000/- in favour of the complainant.

5. Learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the *bona fide* intention of the petitioner, let petitioner, above-named, who has no criminal antecedent, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail, subject to payment of Rs.25,00,000/- through cheque in favour of the complainant, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with



two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Araria in connection with Complaint Case No.1430 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the bail bond furnished by the petitioner will be accepted provisionally till encashment of the aforesaid amount in favour of the complainant.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

