

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86943 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2024 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- East
Champaran

Indrajeet Mukhiya @ Indrajit Mukhiya S/O Lالباحدور Mukhiya @ Lالبابو
Mukhiya Resident of Village- Koirgawan Bin Toli, P.S.- Sangrampur, District-
East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Meena Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 18-01-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
2. This application for grant of anticipatory bail arises out of
Sangrampur Police Station Case No. 35 of 2024, dated
11.02.2024, disclosing offence under Section 30(a) of the
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.
3. The prosecution case, as per the First Information Report,
is that the police, on secret information that illicit liquor is
being manufactured and sold in village Koirgawan Bin
Toli, proceeded towards the village and on seeing the
police, some persons fled away. The Chowkidar disclosed
the name of the petitioner and other accused persons who
fled away from the place of occurrence. On search, the



police recovered 57 litres of illicit country made liquor kept in front of the house of co-accused Chandrama Mahto on a motorcycle.

- 4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure of his name by the Chowkidar. He further submits that the petitioner is not the owner of the motorcycle in question and the petitioner is not having any connection with the co-accused Chandrama Singh.
- 5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioner is involved in fourteen criminal cases of similar nature.
- 6. Regards being had to the submission advanced on behalf of the parties concerned and taking into consideration the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.
- 7. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	√	T	√
---	---	---	---

