

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.260 of 2025

=====

Sonu Paswan Son of Late Binod Paswan Resident of Village- Ward No.- 13,
Konar, Kaithi, P.O. and P.S.- Rohtas, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate-cum- Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The Block Development Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Standing Counsel (4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

2 20-01-2025 It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is the son of late Binod Paswan, who has died in harness on 28.03.2021. After his death, the petitioner being a son with the consent of other representatives of the deceased filed an application for grant of compassionate appointment before the respondent No. 02, which has been rejected by the authority vide order dated 05.08.2022 i.e. Annexure-4 on the ground that in the affidavit submitted by the wife and other son's of the deceased they have wrongly mentioned their age. Therefore, claim of the petitioner for his appointment on compassionate ground is appears to be



suspicious.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the wife and other son's of the deceased are illiterate persons and due to mistake, they have wrongly declared their age in their affidavit. However, for rectifying the said mistake and again for considering the claim of the petitioner, the petitioner made an application on 24.03.2022, vide Annexure-2. Therefore, it is prayed by the counsel that the respondent may be directed to take afresh decision on the matter of compassionate appointment of the petitioner.

3. The above limited prayer made by the counsel does not oppose by the counsel of the respondents. He submits that if this Court directs the claim of the petitioner, it will be consider and decided by the competent authority within 12 weeks.

4. On the basis of submission made by both the counsels the petition is disposed of. The respondent/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the afresh claim of the petitioner as early as possible in accordance with relevant rules and law within 12 weeks from today.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

krishnakant/-

U			
---	--	--	--

