

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82179 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-203 Year-2025 Thana- KAMTAUL District- Darbhanga

Sanjeev Jha @ Sanjeev Kumar Jha, S/o- Late Mangeshwar Jha, R/v-
Hariharpur, P.S.- Kamtaul, Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Y S/o- Late Asarfi Sahni R/v- hariharpur, P.S.- Kamgaul Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate Mr. Ritwik Thakur, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 09-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Kamtaul P.S. Case No.203 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1) and 76 of the B.N.S. and Sections 8 and 12 of POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner took the minor grand daughter of the informant in her room, unzipped her and slapped her.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. It is a peculiar case where the F.I.R. has been filed on a computerized application which bears L.T.I. of the informant. It has also been submitted that as per the F.I.R. the occurrence is of 10.09.2025 whereas the F.I.R. has been lodged on 12.09.2025. From perusal of the order of the learned trial Court, it is clear that the victim has given her statement under Sections 180 and 183 of the B.N.S.S. wherein she has specifically stated that the petitioner forcibly took her to his house and unzipped her pant and also slapped her. It has also been submitted that since there is litigation between the parties, this petitioner has been framed in this case and moreover, the allegations attract Section 11 of the POCSO Act in which the maximum punishment is three years. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 28.09.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special



Judge (POCSO Act), Darbhanga in connection with Kamtaul
P.S. Case No. 203 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

