

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86547 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2024 Thana- MASAUDHI District- Patna

Amlesh Kumar @ Karan Kumar S/o Birju Yadav R/o Vill.- Lakhapur, P.o-
Oyana, P.S- Parasbigha, Dist.- Jehanabad, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Pushpa Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

9 12-05-2025 Heard Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, the learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mrs. Pushpa Singh, the

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Session Trial No. 721 of 2024 arising out of Masaurhi P.S. Case
No. 75 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 363 and 365 of the Indian Penal Code and
subsequently added under Sections 302, 201, 120(B) and 34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that he had
called the son of the informant from the house and had taken
away his son at the instance of paying Rs. 8000/- which was due
to him. It has been alleged that the petitioner along with others



had committed the murder of the son of the informant.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has been named in the FIR, however, there is story propounded that petitioner calling the son of the informant, is false and concocted. The learned counsel further submits that no occurrence as has been alleged by the informant took place, as the petitioner was used to work at Hyderabad for his livelihood and there is no money dispute between the petitioner and the deceased as alleged in the prosecution case. The learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner had willfully surrendered before the police when he came to know about his implication in the present case and he was beaten by the police and was forced to sign on a blank paper on which his confessional statement is stated to have been recorded. The learned counsel further submits that the trial has already begun and one witness has already examined and lastly it has been submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody since 05.02.2024.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has stated that on the confessional statement of the petitioner, the dead body of the deceased was recovered. The learned A.P.P. further submits



that the petitioner is named in the FIR and there is ample material against him which has been collected during the course of investigation which shows his involvement in the present case.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and considering the submissions made by the parties, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of regular bail.

7. Therefore, the prayer for bail is dismissed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

