

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85631 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-271 Year-2024 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

Adarsh Raj @ Golu S/o Arun Chaurasiya @ Arun Kumar Chaurasiya R/o vill
- Dhane Goraul, P.S. - Goraul, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Radhe Shyam, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 20-03-2025 Heard Mr. Radhe Shyam, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 394, 307, 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant is running a Customer Service Centre (hereinafter referred to as 'CSC') of IDFC First Bank and her husband is a security guard. On the date of the occurrence, she was working at her CSC. Her husband has also returned from his duty and at about 03.55 PM, three miscreants on a red color bike being armed with weapon entered in the CSC. They pointed gun on the informant and started looting the cash from the CSC and when the informant



and her son objected, accused persons started firing at them. The informant also received gunshot injury in his right leg. The husband of the informant received four gunshot injuries in his chest after that he rushed to the doctor where he was declared dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that the name of this petitioner has surfaced in the statement of co-accused namely, Vivek Kumar @ Bhako. From perusal of the confessional statement of Vivek Kumar, it transpires that no role is attributed to this petitioner in the occurrence, he is only associate. It is also submitted that no recovery and no TIP was conducted. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 29.07.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submits that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of five cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with



Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 271 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

