

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82877 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1100 Year-2022 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

1. Swajiya Devi W/o Late Bharat Bhagat R/o Village- Mustafapur, ward no 8, PS- Ahiyapur, District- Muzaffarpur
2. Gita Devi W/o Manjit Kumar Manjay R/o Village- Mustafapur, ward no 8, PS- Ahiyapur, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Sangeeta Sharma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2025 Heard Mr. Ravi Ranjan, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mrs. Sangeeta Sharma, learned APP for the State.

2.The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Abhiyapur P.S. Case No. 1100 of 2022 instituted for the offence under Sections 304(B), 498(A)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are the mother-in-law and sister-in-law of the deceased. It is alleged that Priyanka was married to Vijay Bhagat eight years ago. She was being subjected to cruelty on account of non-



fulfillment of dowry demand. It is further alleged that on 01.12.2022, the deceased called them and informed the informant that her in-laws are demanding cash and they will kill her. When the informant reached there, she found her daughter lying dead.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. He also submits that the petitioners are living separately from the deceased and her husband. It has also been submitted that from perusal of the postmortem report, it will transpire that the doctors have found only one abrasion mark as an ante-mortem injury. The nature of the allegation is general and omnibus. The main thrust of the allegation is against the husband. It has further been submitted that a statement has been made in para-3 of this petition that the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The



petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 1100 of 2022, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Muzaffarpur subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

