

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82553 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-103 Year-2025 Thana- LAUKAHA District- Madhubani

Kuraisa Khatoon @ Muni Khatun W/O Md. Nadim R/O Village- Bisanpur,
P.S.- Lalmaniya, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

Mr.Ravi Prakash, Adv

Mr. Gagandeo Yadav, Adv

Mr. Udeshya Kumar Yadav, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s :

Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned A.P.P. for the State, Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 121(1), 132, 74, 352 and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and the informant alleges that that post mortem of Aasma Khatoon was conducted on 14.07.2025 and thereafter her dead body was handed over to her family members and burial was to take place on 15.07.2025 after arrival of her husband, Md. Hafiz, from Dubai, it is next alleged that her husband came on 15.07.2025



and kept the dead body at the house of the accused persons and started pelting stones and thereafter, started preparation to bury the dead body in the house of the accused persons, accordingly, on receiving information, the informant with police force reached the place of occurrence, when accused persons started abusing the force and also pelted stones, thereafter Chaukidar disclosed the name of the accused persons who were involved in the occurrence, further in the occurrence, two female constable were injured who were treated at P.H.C. Khutauna.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of pelting stones and assaulting the police force is alleged against the petitioner rather the allegation of abuse and assault is general and omnibus in nature. It is also submitted that the petitioner came to be implicated at the instance of Chaukidar, as her husband is on an inimical term with him. It is further submitted that petitioner is a resident of nearby place where the occurrence had taken place, as such, petitioner out of inquisitiveness came to the place of occurrence, when she heard ruckus and came to be implicated.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No. 103 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

