

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81535 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-261 Year-2025 Thana- LAKHISARAI District- Lakhisarai

1. Rohit Ram @ Rohit Kumar, Son of Bijay Ram, R/o Village - Rajauna Chauki Ward No.1, P.S. and Dist. - Lakhisarai.
2. Sentu Ram, Son of Bijay Ram, R/o Village - Rajauna Chauki Ward No.1, P.S. and Dist. - Lakhisarai.
3. Hira Devi @ Dulari Devi, Wife of Sentu Ram, R/o Village - Rajauna Chauki Ward No.1, P.S. and Dist. - Lakhisarai.
4. Arjun Ram @ Arjun Kumar, Son of Bijay, Ram R/o Village - Rajauna Chauki Ward No.1, P.S. and Dist. - Lakhisarai.
5. Bulla Ram @ Abdhesh Kumar, Son of Bijay Ram, R/o Village - Rajauna Chauki Ward No.1, P.S. and Dist. - Lakhisarai.
6. Chandan Kumar, Son of Sentu Ram, R/o Village - Rajauna Chauki Ward No.1, P.S. and Dist. - Lakhisarai.
7. Gaurab Ram @ Gaurab Kumar, Son of Bijay Ram, R/o Village - Rajauna Chauki Ward No.1, P.S. and Dist. - Lakhisarai.
8. Fantush Ram @ Pintu Kumar, Son of Bijay Ram, R/o Village - Rajauna Chauki Ward No.1, P.S. and Dist. - Lakhisarai.
9. Samiful Devi, Wife of Amita Ram, R/o Village - Ramchandarpur, P.S. - Pipariya, Dist. - Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aditya Nath Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Lakhisarai P.S. Case No. 261 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 109(1), 74, 352, 351(2) of the



Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. On account of a dispute, as has arisen due to playing of DJ, allegedly the petitioners along with others have brutally assaulted the informant and others by means of *Lathi*, *Danda*, *Iron* rod and *Khanti*, due to which they sustained injuries. There is further allegation of misbehaving with the informant and other female members.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners submitted that with respect to an occurrence, which took place on 12.05.2025, the present F.I.R. came to be instituted on 08.06.2025 without there being any plausible explanation for delay. Moreover, the allegation and injury sustained by the injured does not corroborate with the injury reports. It is submitted that on account of a trifle, the parties have entered into a free fight, resulting into some unfortunate injury, however, the petitioners undertake that they will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court and would not indulge in such type of activity in future.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that the petitioners have actively participated in the crime.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking note of the simple nature of



injuries, coupled with the unexplained delay in lodging of the F.I.R., let the petitioners, named above, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lakhisarai in connection with Lakhisarai P.S. Case No. 261 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close relative of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

