

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4238 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13652 of 2024

=====

M/s. Gajendra Hume Pipe, through its Proprietor, Nimit Akhauri, Gender-male, aged about-50 years, S/o- Late Akhouri Jaideo Narayan Sinha, R/o Gajendra Puri, Garden of God School, Golamber Buxar, Bihar, 802101.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Secretary, Department of Industries, Govt. of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna through its Managing Director.
3. Bandana Preyashi, Chairman, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
4. Kundan Kumar, The Managing Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
5. Chandra Shekhar Singh, The Executive Director, South Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
6. Amit Kumar, The D.G.M., Bihta Cluster, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
7. Ankur, The Manager, Bihta Cluster, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
8. Gopal Prasad, The Area Manager, Industrial Area- Buxar, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
9. Ritesh Ranjan, The D.G.M. (Legal), Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aman Raj, Adv. Mr. Sachin Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Government Pleader 2
For the BIADA	:	Mr. Prashant Pratap, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

4 02-05-2025 The present contempt is filed alleging that the

opposite parties have violated the order dated 25.09.2024 passed

by this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 13652 of 20224.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that though this Court while remanding the matter back to the authorities has fixed a time frame and directed the authorities to pass the necessary orders within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. However, the authorities have passed the order on 11.04.2025, there is no explanation forthcoming in the show cause filed by the opposite party with regard to the delay caused in passing the order. Learned counsel has relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos. 1644-1645/2024 @ SLP (C) Nos. 25880-25881/2015 dated 05.02.2024 and prayed for punishing the opposite parties for the deliberate and willful disobedience orders of this Court.

3. *Per contra*, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite parties has stated that necessary orders were passed as directed by this Court *albeit* with some delay, due to administrative reasons and the same was not deliberate and willful.

4. Having regard to the same, this Court does not find any deliberate or willful violation of the orders passed by this Court. The opposite parties are let of with a warning that in future they should strictly abide by the orders passed by this



Court and see that the orders are passed within the given time frame.

5. With the above directions, the present M.J.C. stands closed.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Gauravkr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

