

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81706 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-58 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Bhojpur

1. Md. Ayatulla @ Md. Ataullah Son of Late Md. Sikandar R/o Village - Gurhatti Gali, Dafal Tola, P.S. - Ara Town, Dist. - Bhojpur.
2. Md. Akbar Son of Late Munna Sahab R/o Village - Gurhatti Gali, Dafal Tola, P.S. - Ara Town, Dist. - Bhojpur.
3. Md. Mustafa Son of Late Abdul Salam R/o Village - Gurhatti Gali, Dafal Tola, P.S. - Ara Town, Dist. - Bhojpur.
4. Shabana Khatoon Wife of Late Md. Sikandar R/o Rajendra Nagar, Loha Patti, Balia, P.S. - Kotwali Nagar, Dist. - Balia, State - Uttar Pradesh.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Rukshar Parween @ Rukhsana Parween Wife of Mohammad Adil, D/o Md. Kalam R/o Dabour Gali, Khetadi Mohalla, Ward no. 24, P.S. - Arah Nagar, Dist. - Bhojpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Mritunjay Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Akash Keshav, Adv.
		Ms. Naina Nancy, Adv.
		Ms. Akansha Malviya, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 05-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 58 of 2025 dated 01.07.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 85, 109 read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S.



and Section 3/4 of the D.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners and the co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the informant mentally and physically and ousted her from the matrimonial home due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner no. 1 is the brother-in-law (Devar), the petitioner no. 2 is the cousin father-in-law (Chacha Sasur), the petitioner no. 3 is the father-in-law (Nana Sasur) and the petitioner no. 4 is the mother-in-law of the informant and they have no concern with the alleged offence. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. The petitioners neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the informant. It is further submitted that the husband of the informant is already in custody since 02.09.2025. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the allegation being general and omnibus against the petitioners, let the above named petitioners, in the



event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court concerned, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 58 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

