

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83026 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-754 Year-2013 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Araria

Mazar Alam @ Md. Manzur Alam @ Manzer @ Manzar @ Md. Manzar Alam @ Manzar Alam Son of Md. Hasir @ Md. Haseer R/o Village - Lahtora, Matiyari, P.S. - Mahalgaon, Dist. - Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kahkashan Perveen W/o Manzar Alam @ Md. Manzur Alam @ Manzer @ Manzar @ Md. Manzar Alam Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Md. Naushaduzzoha, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 498A and 379 of the I.P.C.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that, the complainant was married to the petitioner. It is further alleged that she was subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand of Rs. 40,000/- and one cow.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that the petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor



tortured the complainant. It is also submitted that the petitione is a laborer. Moreover, the petitioner has clean criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 24.06.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the informant as well as learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail by submitting that from perusal of Annexure-1 it is evident that the provisional bail of this petitioner was granted by a Co-ordinate bench of this Court and was directed to pay Rs. 750/- per month as maintenance which the petitioner did not comply. Further, vide order dated 26.07.2016 passed in Cr. Misc No. 27206 of 2016, he was directed to pay the maintenance amount of Rs. 3000/- as ordered by family Court and was directed to pay the same within four months of release.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Complainant Case No. 754 of 2013 on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st-Class, Araria/concerned trial Court, with condition(s):-



the petitioner shall pay the maintenance amount as awarded by family Court and shall not make any deviation in payment.

7. Accordingly, the application stands allowed.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

