

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85298 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-157 Year-2024 Thana- PUNPUN District- Patna

1. Ravi Kumar S/O Late Isha Manjhi Resident of village- Samanpura, Police Station- Punpun in the district of Patna.
2. Dharmendra Manjhi Son of Ramchandra Manjhi Resident of village- Samanpura, Police Station- Punpun in the district of Patna.
3. Punjabi Manjhi Son of Ramchandra Manjhi Resident of village- Samanpura, Police Station- Punpun in the district of Patna.
4. Eklouti Devi Wife of Dharmendra Manjhi Resident of village- Samanpura, Police Station- Punpun in the district of Patna.
5. Chandramani Devi Wife of Late Isha Manjhi Resident of village- Samanpura, Police Station- Punpun in the district of Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 29-01-2025 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, on 28.05.2024 son of informant went away somewhere from house and on 02.06.2024 she got information that one dead body was lying in Maize field and when she went there she found that the dead body was of her son. Informant suspects that all the F.I.R. named accused



persons, including these petitioners, committed murder of her son as prior to the occurrence, on the eve of *Holi*, all the accused persons, including these petitioners, committed *maar-peet* with son of informant and threatened him of dire consequences.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. The informant is not an eye witness to the alleged occurrence and only suspicion has been raised against these petitioners due to previous enmity. During investigation, none of the witnesses have claimed to have seen these petitioners committing the alleged offence. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Masaurhi in connection with Punpun P.S. Case No. 157 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

