

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86990 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2023 Thana- ANGARGHAT District- Samastipur

DHARMESH KUMAR S/O SHANKAR LAL RAI @ SHANKAR RAI R/o
vill - Hakimabad, P.S. - Samastipur (Muffasil), Distt.- Samastipur, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aaruni Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Bal Krishna Mishra, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 20-06-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the
learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. Earlier the
bail application of the petitioner was rejected *vide* order dated
29.11.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 74466 of 2023 .

3. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
for the offence under Sections 394 and 302 of the Indian Penal
Code.

4. The following order was passed on 29.11.2023 in
Cr. Misc. No. 74466 of 2023 :-

Heard Sri Rabi Bhushan, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Sri Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, learned
APP for the State.

2. This application for grant of regular bail
arises out of Angarghat P.S. Case No. 12 of 2023
(Sessions Trial No. 470 of 2023) registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 394 and 302 of the



Indian Penal Code.

3. The petitioner and others are said to have killed the deceased while committing robbery. The petitioner is in custody since 09.02.2023. He is also an accused in a case of committing theft. During the investigation, materials have come to connect the petitioner with the crime.

4. Considering the seriousness of allegation, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of regular bail. Accordingly, this application is rejected.

5. The trial court is directed to expedite the trial of this case and conclude the same as early as possible. If there is no substantial progress in the trial, the petitioner may move before this Court for bail.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 09.02.2023 and because of delay in trial the petitioner may be granted bail.

6. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that four witnesses have already been examined in the trial and rest of the witnesses shall be examined in the trial.

7. Considering these circumstances, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

8. The petitioner may renew his prayer for bail, if the trial is delayed by the prosecution.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

