

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80835 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1006 Year-2025 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

1. Arun Paswan Son of Ramchandra Paswan Resident Of Village- Bhikhanpur Ps -Ahiyapur District- Muzaffarpur
2. Indrajit Paswan son of Ramchandra Paswan @ Chandra Paswan Resident Of Village- Bhikhanpur Ps -Ahiyapur District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Raju Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-11-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his sister was solemnized with co-accused Indal Paswan about 15 years ago as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, committed torture and harassment with the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and subsequently, all the accused persons committed



murder of the victim.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because they happen to be brothers-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against these petitioners. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who is already in custody. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Muzaffarpur in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 1006 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

