

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84108 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-169 Year-2025 Thana- NAYAGAON District- Saran

=====

Amar Kumar Manjhi @ Amar Kumar Paswan S/O Late Ram Ashish Manjhi
@ Late Ramashish Hajra R/O Village- Mahmud Chak, P.s.- Naya gaon, Dist.-
Saran at Chapar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
For the Informant/s	:	Mr. Manish Chandra Gandhi, Advocate
		Mr. Himanshu Ranjan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned
counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Nayagaon P.S. Case No. 169 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 80, 85, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the
daughter of the informant, namely, Shilpa Kumari (deceased),
was married to one Sanjeet Kumar on 30.04.2025. It is also
alleged that she was subjected to cruelty on account of the non-
fulfillment of the dowry demand by her in-laws. On 02.09.2025,
Naya Gaon P.S. informed the son of the informant that the
deceased had hanged herself.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner



has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is the father-in-law. The nature of allegation is general and omnibus. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 04.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by learned APP for the State and the learned counsel for the informant and submits that the death has been caused within five months of the marriage and husband is not in custody.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with Nayagaon P.S. Case No. 169 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

