

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86729 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-598 Year-2023 Thana- ARA NAWADA District- Bhojpur

1. Guddu Yadav @ Guddu Kumar S/o Jay Ram Singh R/o Village- Babu Bazar Dhananjay Singh Ka Makan, P.S.- Ara Nagar, District- Bhojpur
2. Saurabh @ Saurabh Anand Pandey S/o RAj Anand Pandey R/o Village- Ara Nawada, District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shiv Prasad Gupta

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120(b) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent, but the impugned order inadvertently records that petitioners have antecedent of two cases. It is further submitted that in sum and substance, the allegation against the petitioners is that they convinced the informant to give an amount of Rs.6,50,000/- to



Rohit Kumar Singh for providing the informant a job with the railways on account of which, the informant gave an amount of Rs.6,50,000/- to Rohit Kumar Singh before the accused persons including the petitioners, but Rohit did not provide any job to the informant rather gave him a forged joining letter.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Rohit Kumar Singh had moved this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.35701 of 2024 in which the informant was represented by his learned counsel. It is next submitted that the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant in the case of Rohit had submitted that he has instructions not to oppose the anticipatory bail of Rohit. It is next submitted that case of the petitioners are on a better footing.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Ara Nawada P. S. Case No.598 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

