

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87852 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-920 Year-2023 Thana- PURNEA SADAR District- Purnia

1. Rajeev Ranjan Son of Vinod Pd Khirhari @ Vinod Yadav Resident of Village- Belouri, P.S.- Muffasil (Ranipatra), District- Purnea
2. Lalita Kumari D/O- Late Giranand Yadav, Wife of Rajeev Ranjan Resident of Village- Indrapur Majhua, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria
3. Mithlesh Yadav Son of Late Yogi Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankatta, P.S. Sarsi, District- Purnea
4. Subodh Kumar Son of Makhan Das Resident of Village- Belouri, P.S.- Muffasil (Ranipatra), District- Purnea
5. Pramod Yadav Son of Late Sukhdeo Khirhari @ Sukhdeo Resident of Village- Belouri, P.S.- Muffasil (Ranipatra), District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vinod Pd Khirhari @ Vinod Yadav Son of Late Sukhdeo @ Sukhdeo Resident of Village- Belouri, P.S.- Muffasil (Ranipatra), District- Purnea

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, petitioner No. 1 got the land of informant transferred in the name of his wife by declaring informant as a dead person.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that informant is father of petitioner No. 1, father-in-law of petitioner No. 2, maternal uncle of petitioner No. 3 and petitioner Nos. 4 & 5 are co-villagers. The land mentioned in F.I.R. is Khatiyani land of grandfather of petitioner No. 1 and he transferred his share of land to petitioner No. 2 through registered sale deed Nos. 10728 dated 1.6.23 and 10626 dated 31.5.23 and due to typographical error, his father has been shown as dead. It is further submitted that petitioner No. 1 has also filed title suit No. 9 of 24 for partition of ancestral land and this false and concocted case has been lodged against petitioners to put pressure upon them to withdraw the aforesaid title suit. Moreover, dispute is purely of civil nature. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Purnea in connection with Sadar (Mufassil) P. S. Case No. 920
of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2)
of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

