

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81986 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-307 Year-2025 Thana- DIGHWARA District- Saran

1. Saroj Rajak @ Saroj Baitha S/o Late Amarjit Rajak @ Amarjeet Baitha R/o Village - Basti Jalal, P.S - Dighwara, District - Saran
2. Kaushalya Devi @ Kaushila Devi W/o Saroj Baitha @ Saroj Rajak R/o Village - Basti Jalal, P.S - Dighwara, District - Saran
3. Lalan Rajak @ Lalan Kumar Rajak S/o Late Amarjit Rajak @ Amarjeet Baitha R/o Village - Basti Jalal, P.S - Dighwara, District - Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                              |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.Aniket Singh, Advocate    |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr.Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP   |
| For the informant        | : | Mr. Manish Chandra, Advocate |
|                          |   | Mr.Himanush Ranjan, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      24-12-2025                      Heard    learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
  
petitioners learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the  
  
informant.

2. At the outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf  
of the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with  
respect to petitioner no.1, as he has already been arrested.

3. The present petition is, accordingly, dismissed as  
withdrawn as against petitioner no.1.

4. The petitioners No.2 and 3 seek pre-arrest bail in  
connection with Dighwara P.S. Case No. 307 / 2025 registered  
for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2),



118(1), 109, 352, 351(2) (3) and 3(5) of the BNS.

5. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused persons named therein including the petitioners have assaulted the mother and other family members of the informant.

6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that so far as petitioner no.3 is concerned, he has been implicated allegedly because his father-in-law has lodged dowry death case against the informant in connection with Dighwara P.S. Case No.107 of 2023. So far as petitioner no.2 is concerned, there is no specific allegation against her. Petitioners have clean antecedents.

7. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

8. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and also the fact that the petitioners have clean antecedents, I am of the opinion that petitioners no.2 and 3 have, prima facie, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

**9. The petitioners no.2 and 3, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.**



10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate- 1 Saran at Chapra / Concerned Court in connection with Dighwara P.S. Case No. 307 / 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

10. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

11. The present petition stands disposed of.

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

Sanjay/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

