

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80874 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2025 Thana- SONBERSHA RAJ District- Saharsa

Saurav Kumar @ Sorav Kumar Son of Muso Vishwas @ Muso Kamat R/o  
Village- Sonbarsa Raj, P.S.- Sonbarsa Raj, District- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amarnath Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rabindra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2      27-11-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Sonbarsa Raj P.S.  
case No. 111 of 2025 instituted for the offences under  
Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that 4 liters  
liquor was recovered from bush.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in  
the present case. No incriminating material has been  
recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner.  
The petitioner has got no concern with the alleged recovery



of liquor. It is further submitted that recovery is made from an open place accessible to one and all. The name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of disclosure made by local people whom the petitioner did not know. The petitioner is in custody since 08.08.2025 and has got four criminal antecedents. There is no compliance of Section 103 of B.N.S.S., 2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Sonbarsa Raj P.S. case No. 111 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.



(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Pankaj/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

