

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12007 of 2018**

Sanjay Kumar Singh Son of Late Shardanand Singh Resident of Village Makrain, Post - Dalmianagar, District - Rohtas Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Chief Manager GAIL (India) Limited, Patna, Hotel Patliputra Ashok, Veerchand Patel Path, Patna
2. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
4. The D.C.L.R. Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The Competent Authority Bihar Construction Office, Hotel Patliputra Ashok, Veerchand Patel Path, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                   |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14  |
| For the Resp. No.1&5 | : | Mr. Uday Bhan Singh, Advocate     |
|                      | : | Ms. Kumari Shreya, Advocate       |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      01-12-2025                      Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction for quashing the letter/order dated 03.08.2017 and 06.04.2018 (Annexure-4/4A) issued by the Competent Authority (Bihar) by which the petitioner has been informed that his land situated at Khata No. 112, Kheshra No. 371, total area 0.4319 hectare has been acquired by the respondent under section 6(1) of the*



*Petroleum Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of right of user in land) Act, 1962.*

*(ii) for direction to the respondent to maintain status quo during pendency of this writ application before this Hon'ble Court.*

*(iii) for direction to the respondent authority to dispose of the objection letter dated 28.10.2016 after giving opportunity to the petitioner of being heard and if necessary to make enquiry in the matter.*

*(iv) for any other relief or reliefs be granted to the petitioner to which he is found legally entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”*

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will submit its representation before an appropriate authority.

4. The respondents have no objection,

5. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

vinayak/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

