

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19200 of 2024

Niranjan Kumar s/o Visundev Prasad Resident of Village - Arya Samaj Road,
Bihta, P.S.-Bihta, District- Bihta

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Patna.
4. The Additional District Magistrate (Revenue), Patna.
5. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Danapur, Patna.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Danapur, Patna.
7. The Circle Officer, Bihta Anchal, District-Patna.
8. The Circle Inspector, Bihta Anchal, Patna.
9. The Anchal Amin, Bihta Anchal (Concerning Mauza - Sikandarpur), P.S. Bihta, District - Patna.
10. The Rajaswa Karmchari (Halka Karmchari) Mauza Sikandarpur, P.S. Bihta, Patna.
11. Sadhana Singh W/o Shailendradhari Singh R/o Dharhara Kothi, P.S. Kadam Kuan, District-Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shambhu Sharan Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. SC-20

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 30-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned SC-20 for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after some argument, seeks permission to withdraw the writ application with liberty to assail the order impugned in the instant writ application in accordance with law before an appropriate forum.

3. Permission is accorded.



4. Accordingly, the writ application is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. It is made clear that if issue of limitation will arise the competent authority shall keep in mind that petitioner was pursuing his remedy before this Court.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, submits that the case was earlier taken up on 03.01.2025 by a learned Coordinate Bench and status quo was granted, as such, it is submitted that since petitioner will file an appeal before the authority competent against the order impugned in the instant writ application, as such, status quo be maintained on which learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that if an appeal is filed by the petitioner in that event the authority competent shall decide whether status quo is to be granted or not.

7. It is made clear that if the petitioner files any appeal before the authority competent on or before 17.02.2025 in that event status quo with respect to the land shall be maintained until the appeal is taken up by the authority competent on the first date, thereafter the authority competent shall be at liberty to grant or not to grant status quo.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

