

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82562 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2020 Thana- JADIA District- Supaul

=====

Pampu Sah @ Pappu Sah Son of Bauku Sah Resident of Village - Pithaura,
Ward No.- 05, P.S.- Narpatganj, District - Araria, State - Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Kuldeep Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 03-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, marriage of daughter of
informant was solemnized with this petitioner and thereafter, all
the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner,
committed torture and harassment with the victim and
subsequently, killed her.

4. It is submitted by learned senior counsel for the



petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness of the occurrence and petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of the deceased. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner is in custody since 23.04.2023.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along with other accused persons, committed torture and harassment with the victim and later on, committed her murder. In the *post mortem* report, incised wound around the neck of the deceased was found. Petitioner is husband of the deceased who died unnatural death at her matrimonial house.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 23.04.2023, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy



of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

