

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84547 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-320 Year-2025 Thana- HILSA District- Nalanda

1. Deena Prasad @ Dinesh Prasad S/o Narshigh Prasad R/o ward no. 3, Devi Mandir, Vill - Gulni, P.O. and P.S. - Hilsa, Distt.-Nalanda
2. Koshmi Devi W/o Dinesh Prasad R/o ward no. 3, Devi Mandir, Vill - Gulni, P.O. and P.S. - Hilsa, Distt.-Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Harsh Kaushal, Advocate Mr. Annapurna Sinha, Avocate Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate Mr. Abhishek Kumar Pandey, Advocate Mr. Kuldeep Tahkur, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s:		Mr. Gauri Shankar Gupta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 24-12-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Hilsa P.S. Case No. 320 of 2025, F.I.R dated 26.05.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and 3/4 of D.P. Act.

3. According to prosecution case, the allegation is that the marriage between the daughter of the informant and son of the petitioners were solemnized by Hindu rites and rituals and, thereafter, they started demanding dowry and on non-fulfilment of the same the daughter of the informant was killed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the



petitioners are quite innocent and have been falsely implicated in the instant case. It is further submitted that the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the victim who were living separately from the deceased and her husband. From the contents of the FIR, it would be apparent that there is nothing specific against these petitioners rather the allegations are general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that the petitioners have clean antecedent and are ready to co-operate with the investigation and shall not tamper with the evidence.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the allegations are general and omnibus in nature and nothing specific against the petitioners and the petitioners are residing separately from the victim and the son of the petitioners is in custody since 26.05.2025. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand)



each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hilsa, Nalanda in connection with Hilsa P.S. Case No. 320 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioners who shall provide official document to show his bona fide;

(ii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of their bail bonds by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

Vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

