

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17891 of 2023

1. Kanhaiya Kumar Mishra son of Murlidhar Mishra, Resident of Village-Petarpahadi, P.S. and P.O.-Chakai, District-Jamui.
2. Shyam Deo Ray, son of Shaligram Ray, Resident of Village-Maharaydih, P.S. and P.O.-Chakai, District-Jamui.
3. Bikesh Kumar Ray, son of Sri Sukhdeo Ray, Resident of Village-Nargi, P.S.-Chakai and P.O.-Saran, District-Jamui.
4. Rajeev Chaubey, son of Shambhu Chaubey, Resident of Village-Hatpokhar, P.S. and P.O.-Masurhi, District-Bhojpur.
5. Shobha Surabhi, Daughter of Ramtapaswi Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-Gagari, P.S. Sheikhpura, District-Sheikhpura.
6. Shashikesh Kumar, Son of Sachida Nand Sinha, Resident of Lane No. 3, Pirmuhani (Devi Dayal High School), P.S. and P.O.-Phulwari, District-Patna.
7. Amrendra Singh, son of Ram Kumar Singh, Resident of Village-Manikpura, P.S. and P.O.-Saran, District-Saran.
8. Rajesh Kumar Tiwary, Resident of Village-Chanpura, P.S. and P.O.-Tadwa Parasia, District-Siwan.
9. Suman Kumar, Shastri Nagar, P.O.-Madhubani, P.S. Kehat, District-Purniya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Nehru Path, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Examination Controler (Tre-II), Bihar Public Service Commission, Nehru Path, Bailey Road, Patna.
5. The Examination Controller, Bihar School Examination Board.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vardaan Mangalam, Adv.
For the Responder/s	:	Mr. Government Pleader 2
For the B.P.S.C.	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Adv.
		Mr. Nishant Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the B.S.E.B.	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Sr. Adv.
		Mr. Girijish Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-01-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that, during the pendency, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U			
---	--	--	--

