

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85816 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-622 Year-2024 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

Ramesh Kumar Sinha @ Ramesh Sinha Son of Sri Dineshwar Prasad Sinha
@ Bhola Rai Resident of Kusumpurum Colony, Ward No 37 House No 14,
Gola Road, PS -Rupaspur, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Advocate
For the Informant/s	:	Mr. Jagjit Roshan, Advocate
		Mr. Apul, Advocate
		Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 17-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned
counsel for the informant, Mr. Jagjit Roshan and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 118(1), 109 of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms
Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is the informant was going
to his home and when he reached near the house of Ramesh
Kumar Sinha (the petitioner), the petitioner and his son
Rajneesh Kumar started firing at the vehicle of the informant. It
is further alleged that the informant received injuries with the



pellets of the fire arm.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that earlier a case has been filed by the wife of the petitioner against the informant for his illegal acts. It has also been argued that there are two injury reports on the record. On one injury report, which is said to be of Sub Divisional Hospital, Danapur, shows that there are multiple injuries bear signature of nobody. From perusal of this injury report, it transpires that the informant has received multiple burnt wounds. There is one more injury report of PMCH, which shows that there is no pellet injury on face, only found deposit of gun powder. It is also mentioned in the injury report that the patient was primarily treated at PHC, Danapur and was then brought to PMCH. From perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. Regarding injury, there are two injury reports and injury of PMCH seems most reliable. It is next submitted that there is dispute between the parties from before. It is further submitted that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 17.09.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state and learned



counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 622 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 2nd Danapur.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

