

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81503 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2025 Thana- MAHKAR District- Gaya

-
1. Ravi Raushan Son of Naresh Yadav Resident of village - Jagdiha, P.S.- Mahkar, District - Gaya
 2. Ritik Raushan @ Ritik Roushan Son of Naresh Yadav Resident of village - Jagdiha, P.S.- Mahkar, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Mahkar P.S. Case No. 180 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 351(2), 352, 324(4), 303(2) of the BNS.

3. Allegedly, while the son of the informant was returning home, in the meanwhile, all the FIR named accused persons, including the petitioners, surrounded him. It is specifically alleged that on exhortation made by other accused persons to kill his son, the petitioners have assaulted him with pistol due to which he fell down, whereupon co-accused Chandan Kumar and Subodh Yadav assaulted him by means of



khanti and iron rod due to which he sustained head injury. Besides the aforesaid allegation, it is further alleged that the accused persons also snatched valuables after entering into the house of the informant.

4.Learned Advocate for the petitioners submitted that besides delay in lodging of the FIR, it is admitted fact that the nature of injury, which has been discussed in the impugned order, does not corroborate the accusation levelled against the petitioners. The injured only sustained one injury and the same is caused by hard and blunt object which is not specifically attributed against the petitioners, rather the same is attributed against co-accused Chandan Kumar and Subodh Yadav, who are not before this Court. Prior to institution of the present case, the persons of the petitioners' side have also instituted Mahkar P.S. Case No. 176 of 2025 against the informant and others and the present case is nothing but instituted in order to save their skin. The petitioners are men of fair antecedent and they undertake that they will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioners have brutally assaulted the informant's son, which led to serious injuries over his head.



6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of accusation against the petitioners *qua* the injury, as discussed in the impugned order, besides the fair antecedent of the petitioners as also the genesis of occurrence, let the petitioners abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in connection with Mahkar P.S. Case No. 180 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

