

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80112 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-486 Year-2024 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

1. Ravi Sah @ Raja Sah S/o Ram Rup Sah Resident of - Kahra, Ward no. 24/42, P.S - Saharsa, District - Saharsa
2. Chandan Kumar @ Chandan Sah S/o Ram Rup Sah Resident of - Kahra, Ward no. 24/42, P.S - Saharsa, District - Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subesh Sharma, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioners and
learned Advocate for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Saharsa P.S. Case No.486 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 324, 325, 307, 354, 379, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. In the night of the fateful day, while the Panchayati was going on in order to resolve the land dispute, all the FIR named accused persons along with 3-4 unknown persons by forming an unlawful assembly armed with various weapons started abusing and assaulting the informant and others. It is specifically alleged that co-accused Sonu Sah assaulted the son



of the informant by means of *farsa* whereas co-accused Pankaj Sah assaulted the informant by means of the butt of the pistol, besides further allegation against others.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners referring to the FIR has contended that save and except the petitioners are said to have participated in the crime, no specific allegation of causing assault has been attributed against them. There is delay in lodging of the FIR. The injury, which is allegedly sustained to the informant and his son, have been found to be simple in nature. To support the aforesaid contention, the injury report has also been placed on record. There is admitted land dispute between the parties. Moreover, the petitioners are men of fair antecedent and they undertake that they will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respective parties and considering the nature of accusation against the petitioners, coupled with the simple injury, besides the genesis of the occurrence and the fair antecedent of the petitioners, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before



the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa in connection with Saharsa P.S. Case No.486 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

