

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80067 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-280 Year-2025 Thana- DORIGANJ District- Saran

1. Rajeev Ranjan Ray @ Raju Ranjan Prasad Yadav @ Rajeev Ranjan Rai @ Raju Ranjan S/o Suresh Prasad @ Suresh Rai @ Suresh Prasad Yadav R/o village - Fulchak Chirand, P.S - Doriganj, District - Saran (Bihar)
2. Vikki Rai @ Priya Ranjan Prasad @ Vicky Kumar @ Priya Ranjan S/o Suresh Prasad @ Suresh Rai @ Suresh Prasad Yadav R/o village - Fulchak Chirand, P.S - Doriganj, District - Saran (Bihar)
3. Ramesh Rai @ Ramesh Prasad S/o Shiv Shankar Prasad @ Shivshankar Rai R/o village - Fulchak Chirand, P.S - Doriganj, District - Saran (Bihar)
4. Sadhu Rai @ Shailendra Rai S/o Chaneshwar Rai @ Chandeshwari Rai R/o village - Fulchak Chirand, P.S - Doriganj, District - Saran (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhijeet Abhigyan
		Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocates
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-12-2025 Heard Mr. Abhijeet Abhigyan, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Doriganj P.S. Case No. 280 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 26.07.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. Allegation against the petitioners is that they



along with other co-accused persons came with weapon and surrounded the informant and accused Sadhu Rai ordered to accused persons to kill the informant and on this accused Rajeev Ranjan Ray attacked with the bat of farsha on the informant due to which he sustained injury and when the son of the informant came to save the informant then the accused persons also thrown out the son of the informant and also when the nephew of the informant came to save the informant then accused persons also beat him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner nos. 1 to 3 having clean antecedent and petitioner no. 4 carries two more cases other than the present one and they have been falsely implicated in the present case. The present case is counter blast of Doriganj P.S. Case No. 279 of 2025 filed by the brother of petitioner no. 1. From perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that petitioner no. 4 is the order giver and there is specific allegation against the petitioner no. 1 that he has assaulted the informant by means of farsha and petitioner no. 2 has assaulted the son and nephew of the informant and there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against petitioner no. 3. Although the injured persons have received injuries but the injury report of the injured persons



suggests that the injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submits that petitioner nos. 1 to 3 having clean antecedent and petitioner no. 4 carries two more cases other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner no. 4 has been acquitted in one case and in other case he is on bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case that the injury inflicted upon the injured persons are simple in nature and petitioner nos. 1 to 3 having clean antecedent, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. VIII, Saran at Chapra in connection with Doriganj P.S. Case No. 280 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions :-



(1) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

