

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84029 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2024 Thana- TERHAGACHH District- Kishanganj

Pujan Das @ Pujan Kumar Das, Son of Late Kashi Nath Das, Resident of
Benugarh, Ward No.5, P.S. - Tehragachh, District - Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhola Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Pranav Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the marriage of the sister of informant was solemnized with the petitioner in March, 2024. After two months of marriage his sister was being subjected to cruelty by the petitioner and his family members on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand. On 06.09.2024 his sister stated on phone that petitioner was threatening to kill her due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand. On the same day, at about 03:00 P.M., Suman Das (cousin sister-in-law of the deceased) informed the informant that his sister has got electric shock. On the next date he was informed that his sister had died. When the informant went to the matrimonial house of his sister



he found the dead body of his sister.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the FIR itself it is clear that the petitioner was not present at the time of occurrence.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State. He further submits that the petitioner is the husband and the death was caused just after two months of the marriage in the matrimonial house. From perusal of the post mortem report it also transpires that there was one ligature mark 1/2” wide between chin and thyroid cartilage was found.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the above facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. However, he is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months if the trial does not conclude.

7. Accordingly, the present bail application stands rejected.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

