

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80409 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-108 Year-2025 Thana- HARSIDHI District- East Champaran

Niraj Kumar Son of Gagandev Ram Resident of Village - Matiyariya Kashwa,
P.S. - Harsidhi, District - East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhandev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Harsidhi P.S. Case No.108/2025, registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 25(1-B) (a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that he received secret information that loot committed on
10.02.2025 was committed by Vishal, who worked as a liner,
accordingly, house of Vishal was raided and he was arrested and
disclosed that the occurrence of 10.02.2025 was committed by him
along with petitioner and Birendra and the looted articles can be
recovered from the house of the petitioner, thereafter the force
along with Vishal came to the house of Birendra, who was
arrested, thereafter house of petitioner was raided but he was



found absconding and apprehended accused disclosed that they have seen where the petitioner has concealed the looted arms, cartridges and money, thereafter at the instance of apprehended accused, the house of the petitioner was raided and a country made pistol along with two live cartridges and Rs.5000/- was recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is also submitted that raid was conducted behind his back as such it appears that the looted articles were planted in his house.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that the looted articles have been recovered from the house of the petitioner at the instance of the apprehended accused and investigation of the case is in its nascent stages

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

