

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19260 of 2024

Sanjay Kumar, son of Mahadev Das, Resident of Village/Mohalla-Gram
Najari, Police Station-Lakhmipur, District-Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Rural Work Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Panchayati Raj Department, P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
3. The District Magistrate, Jamui, Patna, P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Jamui. P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
5. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Jamui.
6. The Block Development Officer, Prabhat Ranjan, P.S. Lakshmipur, Jamui. P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
7. The Block Coordinator, Ritesh Kumar, Lakshmipur, Jamui. P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
8. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Lakshmipur, Jamui. P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
9. The Panchayati Raj Officer Dharmendra Kumar, Lakshmipur, Jamui. P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
10. The Panchayat Technical Assistant, Vikash Kumar Najari, Lakshmipur, Jamui. P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
11. The Mukhiya, Naresh Koda Gram Panchayat, Raj Najari. P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui
12. The Panchayat Secretary Narayan Kumar, Lakshmipur, Jamui. P.S.- Lakshmipur, District- Jamui

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanju Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 07-02-2025 This writ petition has been filed in public interest

for directing the respondents to take steps for



investigation of the irregularities committed under various development schemes of Gram Panchayat, Najari for which complaint has been made by the petitioner as well as other villagers.

2. The petition is absolutely vague and general suggesting that the money allotted for the execution of works under various schemes are being bungled. No specific instance has been pointed out by the petitioner. In fact, what we could gather from this petition is that some representation at some level has been filed.

3. The petitioner would be well advised to pursue his remedies before appropriate forum.

4. No case has been made out for our interference in this matter.

5. The petition is dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Rajesh/Anushka

U			
---	--	--	--

