

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80343 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-106 Year-2025 Thana- BELDOUR District- Khagaria

-
1. HARI KISHORE YADAV @ HARI YADAV S/o LATE JAMUN YADAV
R/O VILLAGE DHADHI, WARD NO.- 15, P.S.- BELDAUR, DISTRICT-
KHAGARIA
 2. ASHUTOSH YADAV S/O HARI YADAV @ HARI KISHORE YADAV
R/O VILLAGE DHADHI, WARD NO.- 15, P.S.- BELDAUR, DISTRICT-
KHAGARIA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Mona Verma, Advocate
		Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109 and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023 as well as Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 09.04.2025 the buffalo of Rakesh Yadav grazed the maize field of Amrendra Yadav, on account of which, firing took place from both sides and in the firing, villager Puran Yadav was injured.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations, as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to grazing of maize crop of Amrendra Yadav by buffalo of Rakesh Yadav, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place in which both sides fired at each other causing injury to Puran Yadav, a villager. It is next submitted that statement of Puran Yadav was recorded by the police during the course of investigation and he has not alleged anything specific against the petitioners. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then allegation of firing is not specific. It is further submitted that Amrendra Yadav alongwith six others had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No.57143 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by a learned co-ordinate Bench of this Court by an order dated 15.09.2025 thus, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail based on parity.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioners, let petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Beldaur P.S. Case No.106 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

