

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81620 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-633 Year-2022 Thana- PHULWARISHARIF District- Patna

Nitu Kumari @ Nitu Singh Wife of Sudhir Singh @ Sudhir Kumar R/o  
Mohalla- Yarpur, Rajputana, P.S.- Gardanibagh, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ambrish Kumar Son of Arunaditya Jha Resident of Vrindavan Colony, Road No. 02, P.S.- Phulwari Sharif, District- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                            |                                                                                                                                                    |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s :     | Mr.Shambhu Narayan Singh, Adv<br>Mr. Suraj Kumar Tiwari, Adv<br>Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv                                                            |
| For the Opposite Party/s : | Mr.Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP<br>Mr. Pranav Kumar Jha, Adv<br>Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, Adv<br>Mr. Pranav Kumar Jha, Adv<br>Mr.Chandra Mohan Jha, Adv |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      12-12-2025                      1.      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned A.P.P. for the State, Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2.      The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3.      Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and is a woman and the informant alleges that on 16-4-2022, he met the petitioner at the house of one of his friends, namely, Rakesh Ranjan, where



petitioner informed that she works in share market and also stated that there is a profit of 5%-50% against the investment, the said statement of the petitioner was also confirmed by her husband, namely, Sudhir Singh, who disclosed that even Rakesh Ranjan is also investing in share market through him, further it is alleged that on 16-4-2022, the informant transferred an amount of Rs. 50,000/- in the account of the petitioner and after that through different transactions informant transferred an amount of Rs. 5,75,000/- in the account of the petitioner; and his friend Rakesh Ranjan also transferred an amount of Rs. 7,20,000/- in the account of the petitioner; and petitioner and her husband also compelled the informant and his friend to arrange investment in share market through other persons, the informant accordingly met several persons and got an amount of Rs. 17,60,000/- invested through different persons. It is next alleged that after 26-27<sup>th</sup> April 2022, the petitioner was not paying any profit and when informant confronted, the petitioner made excuses and lastly the petitioner stopped attending the phone call, it is further alleged that on 25-5-2022, the petitioner and her husband called the informant at their house and when the informant along with other persons came to meet them, they were manhandled and the accused acted inappropriately with the



women investors, further it is alleged that informant was standing near the house of Rakesh, when petitioner and her husband came along with 8-10 unknown persons having lathi, danda and husband of the petitioner was carrying pistol and the accused threatened and snatched his gold chain. It is alleged that the accused persons in sum and substance misappropriated an amount of Rs. 32,52,000/- of the investors.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that thrust of the allegation is against the husband of the petitioner. It is further submitted that husband of the petitioner has antecedent of seven cases, and thus to coerce him into submission, the petitioner also came to be implicated. It is also submitted that husband of the petitioner is in custody and he had moved before this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 49117 of 2025 and the same was permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge. It is next submitted that a specific pleading has been made at para-11 of the anticipatory bail application that 13 years old daughter of the petitioner is suffering from throat cancer since 2016 and is still on conservative treatment for her survival, accordingly huge



amount of money has been invested by the petitioner. It is further submitted that the investment failed.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a woman, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Phulwarisharif P.S. Case No. 633 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Sumit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

