

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80445 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-282 Year-2025 Thana- Marnga District- Purnia

=====

Banti Kumar @ Chintu @ Raju Das S/O Late Gopi Chaudhory R/o Mohlla-
Panchayat Bhawan, Shivshakti Nagar, Ward No. 26, P.S.- Maranga, District-
Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 02-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Maranga P.S. Case No. 282 of 2025, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 8(c) and 21(b) of the NDPS Act.

3. Prosecution allegation, in short, is that there is
recovery of 7.72 gram smack like substance in this case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No
incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious
possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner
further submits that the petitioner has got no concern with the



alleged recovery of the smack like substance. The petitioner has been arrested in this case only on the basis of suspicion. The recovered contraband is below the commercial quantity. Hence, Section 37 of the N.D.P.S. Act is not applicable in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 18.08.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail. There is no compliance of Sections 42 and 50 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the recovered contraband being below the commercial quantity and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail ***after framing of charge, if not already framed*** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Maranga P.S. Case No. 282 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date



fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

