

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80897 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-17 Year-2025 Thana- Badem P.S. District- Aurangabad

Vedvyas Chauhan @ Bed Vyas Chauhan S/O Ramjee Chauhan @ Ramjee Chaudhary R/O Village- Asiya Tola, P.S.- Natwar, Distt.- Rohtas. At Present Vill.- Narayanpur, P.S.- NTPC Khaira, Dist.- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Ranjit Ranjan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 11-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 50 litres of liquor from a bush, 10 litres of liquor from Mantu Chaudhary and 125 litres of liquor from a field near boring of Sasti Ram.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged



recovery is from a place, which does not belong to the petitioner nor petitioner has any concern with Mantu and Sasti and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Mantu in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value, when admittedly petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Badem P. S. Case No.17 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, then it



would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

