

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81234 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-158 Year-2025 Thana- SURSAND District- Sitamarhi

Anil Rai Son of Late Jinish Rai R/o Village - Sundarpur Bantolwa, Ward no - 16 , PS. - Sursand , District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
For the Petitioner : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate
Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Md. Matloob Rab, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that on 30.03.2025 at about 6:30 PM, son of informant went missing. On 31.03.2025 at about 10 PM, this petitioner informed the informant on mobile phone that his son has been assaulted by all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, for entering into house of co-accused Jagdish Rai. In the morning, when informant went to Community Health Centre, Sursand, he found the dead body of his son. Informant alleges that all the



F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, committed murder of his son by assaulting him.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness of the occurrence and only because of village politics, petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along with other accused persons, assaulted son of informant due to which he died. This petitioner himself informed the informant on mobile phone that he, along with other accused persons, has assaulted his son.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

