

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88149 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2024 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Muzaffarpur

Vikash Kumar @ Vicky Kumar S/O Lal Babu Mahto R/O Vill.- Sahila  
Rampur, P.S.- Hathauri, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Dr. Bipin Chandra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      08-01-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection  
with Cyber P.S. Case No. 40 of 2024 registered for the offences  
punishable under Section 354(D) of the Indian Penal Code read  
with Section 67 of the I.T. Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant  
alleges that her sister had come to her house where petitioner also  
used to visit and the petitioner clandestinely clicked a photograph  
of her sister and later started exploiting her sister and even  
molested her, further the petitioner also posted the inappropriate  
picture of her sister on Facebook, further the petitioner even  
stalked her sister after she got married.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the  
informant. It is further submitted that the victim has not instituted



the instant FIR. It is also submitted that no doubt the inappropriate picture of the victim was posted on Facebook, but then it was not from the ID of the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it appears that petitioner and the victim were known to each other and the victim allowed the petitioner to click her, but then petitioner after clicking the photograph of the victim started behaving inappropriately and even posted the picture on Facebook through a fake ID. It is further submitted that investigation in the case is in its nascent stages, as such, if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond,

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

**7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is rejected.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

